IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS DIVISION OF ST. CROIX

UNITED CORPORATION,) CIVIL NO. SX-13-CV-3
)
Plaintiff	S CIVIL ACTION
Vs.) ACTION FOR DAMAGES, ACCOUNTING.
4 p.) BREACH OF CONTRACT, & EQUITABLE
WALEED HAMED) RELIEF
(a/k/a Wally, Wally Hamed))
	COMPLAINT
JOHN DOE (1-10)	>
Defendants)) JURY TRÍAL DEMANDED)

Plaintiff United Corporation, hereinafter ("United"), and by and through its undersigned counsel complains of Defendant Waheed Hamed, hereinafter ("Hamed") as follows:

I. BACKGROUND

1. This is a civil action for damages (both compensatory and punitive) recoupment, conversion, accounting, constructive trust, breach of contract, and breach of various fiduciary duties against Defendant Hamed, an employee and former agent of Plaintiff United. This complaint includes causes of action against Defendant Hamed for defalcating, and misappropriating significant funds belonging to Plaintiff United, arising out of Defendant Hamed's tenure as manager of the operations of the Plaza Extra Supermarket store in Sion Farm, St. Croix, as well as other locations. Further, this civil action names John Doe 1-10 as persons who have worked knowingly, and jointly with Walced Hamed in the commission of each of the causes of action alleged herein.

II. JURISDICTION, VENUE, & DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

- 2. This Court has personal jurisdiction, subject matter jurisdiction, and the amount in controversy is satisfied, pursuant to 4 VIC §76.
- 3. Venue is proper in the District of St. Croix because all of the parties are residents of the District of St. Croix, U.S. Virgin Islands, and the cause(s) of action arose in said District, pursuant to 4 VIC § 78.
- 4. A trial by jury is demanded pursuant to 4 VIC § 80.

III. THE PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff United Corporation is a duly organized Virgin Islands Corporation since January of 1979, and is authorized to conduct business in the Virgin Islands. Plaintiff is *sui juris*.
- 6. Plaintiff is owned completely in various shares by Fathi Yusuf, Fawzia Yusuf, Maher Yusuf, Nejeh Yusuf, Zayed Yusuf, and Yusuf Yusuf, hereinafter collectively referred to as the "Yusuf Family".
- 7. Defendant Waleed Hamed is a natural person and is a resident of the U.S. Virgin Islands. Defendant Hamed is *sui juris*. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant Hamed has been an employee and agent of Plaintiff United.
- 8. Defendants John Doe 1 to 10, upon information, are employees, family, friends, and agents of Defendant Hamed who have participated and/or assisted defendant Wâleed Hamed with the defalcation, conversion, and concealment of substantial assets that are the sole property of Plaintiff United. John Does 1 to 10 are natural persons and are each *sui juris*.

IV. FACTS

- 9. Plaintiff United was organized and authorized to conduct business in the U.S. Virgin Islands on January 15th, 1979 by its then shareholders Fathi Yusuf and his family. Plaintiff United has always been owned wholly in various percentage shares by the various members of the Yusuf family.
- 10. The Corporate officers of Plaintiff United have always been members of the Yusuf family.
- 11. Sometime in 1986, Plaintiff United, through its shareholder and then President, Fathi Yusuf, entered into an oral agreement, whereby Plaintiff United and Defendant Hamed's father, Mohammed Hamed, agreed to operate a grocery store business.
- 12. As a result of this oral agreement, Plaintiff United agreed to rent a portion of its real property, United Shopping Plaza, to this supermarket joint venture.
- 13. United Shopping Plaza is located on the Island of St. Croix, U.Ş. Virgin Islands.
- 14. In 1986, the joint venture resulted in the first supermarket store being opened. United began using the trade name "Plaza Extra" and the first supermarket in this joint venture was named Plaza Extra Supermarket. Since 1986, two additional stores opened in the U.S. Virgin Islands; the second in Tutu Park, St. Thomas; the third in Grove Place, St. Croix.
- 15. In 1986, Plaintiff United hired Waleed Hamed as an employee, and assigned him managerial duties at the Plaza Extra supermarket located in Sion Farm, St. Croix, U.S. Virgin Islands. Defendant Hamed managed and collected significant cash and other assets on behalf of Plaintiff United during the course of his employment.

- 16. In 2003, Plaintiff United, its shareholders Fathi Yusuf, Maher Yusuf, and Defendant Hamed, and the Defendant's brother Waheed Hamed were indicted in the case of U.S. v United Corporation, case no. 15-cr-2005 (D.V.I.).
- 17. During nine years of criminal proceedings, the U.S. Department of Justice and federal law enforcement (collectively the "U.S. Government"), gathered significant financial documents, including but not limited to tax returns, financial ledgers, accounting records, and various other documents concerning the parties herein. Prior to the release of the documents in October of 2011 to Plaintiff United, none of the officers of Plaintiff United had any actual or constructive knowledge of Defendant Hamed's conduct.

Defendant's Acquisition of Substantial Securities through Defalcation of Plaintiff's Assets

- 18. During a search of the documents and files delivered by the U.S. Government, Plaintiff United reviewed documents comprising tax returns for Defendant Hamed. An examination of Defendant Hamed's tax returns revealed the following significant assets:
- a. Tax Year 1992 (Stocks & Investments)\$ 408,572.00
- b. Tax Year 1993 (Stocks & Investments)\$7,587,483.00
- 19. The detailed stock acquisitions, which were listed meticulously by date of acquisition, price and number of shares purchased, could only have been acquired by Defendant Hamed through his unlawful access to monies and other properties belonging to Plaintiff United. Defendant Hamed never held any other employment since 1986, other than through his employment with Plaintiff United.
- 20. Defendant Hamed also never had any other significant source of income, business operations, investments, etc., prior to or during his employment tenure with Plaintiff United.

United v. Waleed Hamed Complaint: Action for Damages

Page 5 of 10

21. The income tax returns for the years 1992 and 1993 reflect substantial assets that upon

information and belief derived from the unlawful conversion and unauthorized access to funds and

monies belonging to Plaintiff United. Plaintiff United never provided Defendant Hamed

remuneration of more than \$35,000 for a yearly salary.

22. In 1993, Defendant Hamed's personal income tax return showed a loss of \$394,382.00.

Plaintiff United, through its Treasurer, inquired of Defendant Hamed where he obtained the money

in 1992 to sustain a personal loss of \$394,000 in his equity portfolio.

23. Defendant Hamed replied that the significant stocks listed in the schedules attached to his

joint tax return was that of "Hamdan Diamond" - an unrelated corporation - that the Certified

Public Accountant that had prepared Defendant Hamed's 1993 income tax return had made a

"mistake" and that Defendant Hamed "would get to the bottom of it."

24. To date, Defendant Hamed has offered no evidence of the "mistake" he claimed was

attributed to the Certified Public Accountant.

25. Further, upon information, such losses were unlikely to be a "mistake" because Defendant

Hamed "carried forward" those losses on his personal income tax returns through 1999.

26. An examination of Defendant Hamed's personal tax returns revealed that Defendant

Hamed's stock purchases between 1991 and 1996 totaled more than \$7 Million.

27. In October of 2011, a review of the U.S. Government records and files further revealed

the following defalcation of funds:

a. Loans totaling \$430,500.00, approved by Defendant Hamed, presumably repaid to

Defendant Hamed.

b. Payments made with respect to the construction of Defendant Hamed's home amounting to

\$481,000.00.

Page 5 of 10

United v., Waleed Hamed Complaint: Action for Damages

Page 6 of 10

c. Six checks totaling \$135,000, drawn on the operating account of Plaintiff United's Plaza

Extra supermarket, and made payable to "Waleed Hamed" personally.

28. To this date, Defendant Hamed refuses to explain and account for any of the aforementioned

funds.

V. CAUSES OF ACTION

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION
BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTIES

29. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs I through 28 inclusive as if fully set forth verbatim

herein.

30. As an agent and employee of Plaintiff United, a corporate entity, Defendant Hamed owes

fiduciary duties to the entity. Included in the fiduciary duty is the duty of loyalty. Not only is it

Defendant Waleed Hamed's duty to properly manage the business affairs of the Plaza Extra

Supermarket stores for the benefit of Plaintiff United, he is not permitted to place himself in a

position where it would be for his own benefit to violate the duty.

31. Defendant Waleed Hamed has breached the following duties (the list of duties violated by

Defendant Hamed, below is not intended to be an exhaustive or exclusive list);

a. Duty of Loyalty

b. Duty of good faith and candor;

c. Duty to manage the day-to-day operations of Plaintiff United's Plaza Extra supermarket

for the benefit of United;

d. Duty of full disclosure of all matters affecting his employer Plaintiff United;

Page 6 of 10

Page 7 of 10

e. Duty to refrain from self-dealing, and/or general prohibition against the fiduciary using his

relationship to benefit his personal interest; and

f. Duty to manage any funds, assets, and/or property belonging to Plaintiff United by virtue

of its operation of the Plaza Extra Supermarket stores in accordance with applicable laws.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION CONSTRUCTIVE TRUST/RECOUPMENT

32. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 31 as if fully set forth verbatim herein.

33. As an agent and employee of Plaintiff United, Defendant Hamed owes numerous fiduciary

duties to Plaintiff United and its shareholders. Not only is it Defendant Hamed's duty to properly

manage the business affairs of the Plaza Extra Supermarket stores for the benefit of Plaintiff

United, but Defendant Hamed also is not permitted to place himself in a position where it would be

for his own benefit to violate the duty,

34. Defendant Hamed has engaged in systemic misappropriation of substantial and valuable

assets of Plaintiff United causing substantial injury to Plaintiff United. As a result, Plaintiff United

has sustained significant financial injury.

35. As such, a constructive trust should be imposed to gather and account for all assets

misappropriated by Defendant Hamed that belongs to Plaintiff United.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION CONVERSION

36. Plaintiff re-incorporates paragraphs 1 through 35 inclusive as if fully set forth verbatim

herein.

Page 7 of 10

United v. Waleed Hamed Complaint; Action for Damages

Page 8 of 10

37. Defendant Waleed Hamed has knowingly converted substantial funds and assets belonging

to Plaintiff United. Plaintiff never consented or agreed to Defendant Hamed's unauthorized use of

its funds and assets. As such, Defendant Hamed is liable for conversion.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION BREACH OF CONTRACT

38. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 37 inclusive as if fully set forth verbatim

herein.

39. Defendant was an at-will employee of Plaintiff United.

40. As an at-will employee of Plaintiff United, Defendant Hamed had a contractual duty to act

in good faith, and to properly manage the business affairs of the Plaza Extra Supermarket stores for

the benefit of Plaintiff United.

41. Defendant Hamed has breached his contractual duties to Plaintiff United, causing Plaintiff

substantial economic and financial harm. As a result, Defendant Hamed is liable to Plaintiff for

breach of contract.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION ACCOUNTING

42. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 41 inclusive as if fully set forth verbatim

herein.

43. As agent and employee of Plaintiff United, Defendant Hamed was under full contractual

obligation and other fiduciary duties to perform his functions as a manger with competence,

integrity, and honesty to Plaintiff United Corporation and its shareholders. Defendant Hamed was

not permitted to place himself in a position where it would be for his own benefit to violate the

duty.

Page 8 of 10

HAMD243056

- 44. Defendant Hamed has breached his employment contractual agreement with Plaintiff United by mismanaging, misappropriating, and converting funds, monies, and other valuables to his personal use. As a result, Plaintiff United has sustained substantial financial damages.
- 45. As such, Plaintiff United is entitled a full accounting of all monies, funds, and assets unlawfully appropriated by Defendant Hamed.

VI. RELIEF REQUESTED

Wherefore, Plaintiff United Corporation, and its shareholders, respectfully pray for the following relief:

- a. Actual and compensatory damages to be determined at trial.
- b. Punitive damages for the intentional defalcation of funds and damages caused to Plaintiff United Corporation.
- c. A complete accounting and constructive trust of all funds, assets, opportunities, and other valuables converted and or misappropriated by Defendant Hamed.
- d. Costs of all professional fees that may be required for the audit and investigation of this matter.
- e. A return of all documents, including but not limited to electronically stored information, belonging to Plaintiff United in the possession (both actual and constructive) of Defendant Hamed.
- f. A Restraining Order precluding Defendant Hamed from:
 - i. Physically returning, or attempting to return, to any of the Plaza Extra supermarket stores;

United v. Waleed Hamed Complaint: Action for Damages

Page 10 of 10

ii. Accessing, or attempting to access, any bank accounts belonging to United

Corporation for any purpose;

iii. Contacting, or attempting to contact, any employee of Plaintiff United concerning

the operations and management of the Plaza Extra Supermarkets;

iv. Preclude Defendant Hamed from contacting any business associates of Plaintiff

United;

v. Preclude Defendant Hamed from representing to third-parties that he is an

employee of Plaza Extra:

vi. Accessing, or attempting to access, any of Plaintiff United's, including but not

limited to the Plaza Extra Supermarkets, books, records, and information regarding as to

location or manner of storage;

vii. Attorneys fees, court costs, and any other relief the court deems equitable.

Date: January 8, 2013

Respectfully Submitted,

DeWood Law Firm

Counsel for Plaintiff United

By:

Nizar A. De Wood, Esq. (1177)

2006 Eastern Suburb, Suite 102

Christiansted, V.I. 00820

t. (340) 773-3444

f. (888) 398-8428